

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,313	03/23/2007	Roger Braun	06-144	7894
34704 7590 07/13/2010 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.			EXAM	UNER
900 CHAPEL STREET			AMAKWE, TAMRA L	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1785	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
BRAUN, ROGER		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
	: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the happeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the 	inal rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee						
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric (a) They raise new issues that would require further considers 						
 (a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further considers (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	onding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Ser 	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 20-37 and 39-49.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and v 	ne all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. \(\overline{\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\tin\texit{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\text{	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ould require a new search. All prior rejections are held for reasons					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
/Mark Ruthkosky/	Tamra L. Amakwe					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785	Examiner, Art Unit 1785					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amended claims require further consideration. Claims 33-36 now recite lower limits, which were not previously presented. Claim 41 has been amended to depend off of claim 20 which is a new consideration not previously examined.